

# BELOW THE RED LINE

HEYL ROYSTER

## WORKERS' COMPENSATION UPDATE "WE'VE GOT YOU COVERED!"

*A Newsletter for Employers and Claims Professionals*

*Special Edition*

### INTRODUCTION

A year in review – it is always a good thing to stop, take a breath, and look around you to assess and take stock of what has happened and how it impacts us moving forward. The last year has been a memorable one for a number of reasons: we have a new President; the Chicago Cubs won the World Series Championship; Justice Antonin Scalia died; and there was more talk, but no legislative action, on workers' compensation reform. You get to decide if any of this is good or bad, and what is most important.

In our very important world of workers' compensation, we took this opportunity to look back over the past year and assess which cases had the greatest impact on your everyday working lives. To that end, we bring you a recap of the significant decisions from last year, highlighting those cases which demand a second look and which might affect our claims handling and defense strategies.

Also, between now and May you will be bombarded by reminders from me and my fellow Heyl Royster workers' compensation attorneys about our upcoming Claims Handling Seminar. We do our very best to make it bigger and better every year. We want to see you there. There is nothing quite like being able to get our clients out of their respective offices for a half day so that we can interact both professionally and socially. We are about building relationships which we hope last a lifetime. Please go to our website, sign up, and set aside the date for your premier seminar on workers' compensation.



Toney J. Tomaso  
Workers' Compensation Practice Chair  
ttomaso@heyloyroyster.com



### LEGISLATIVE REVIEW

*By: Bruce Bonds, [bbonds@heyloyroyster.com](mailto:bbonds@heyloyroyster.com)*

"What's going on in Springfield?" is a common topic of discussion among workers' compensation practitioners. In the ongoing attempts by the State to craft a budget agreeable to all sides, and as part of Governor Rauner's "grand bargain," many proposed changes to the Workers' Compensation Act have been submitted.

Representatives of the employer community have proposed revising the current expansive compensability of injuries to traveling employees, providing credits for body as a whole settlements, and that the work activity be the "primary cause" of the injury. Representatives of employees continue to seek a state-funded workers' compensation insurance company to compete with the private market in the belief that will reduce premiums. Other proposals would create a right of contribution for repetitive and cumulative trauma injuries and impose new penalties on employers for the delay of authorization of medical care. It is impossible to predict what, if anything, will come of these ongoing negotiations which will likely culminate as the legislative session comes to an end at the end of May.

Of note, a committee of 28 attorneys, arbitrators and commissioners completed work on revisions to the Rules Governing Practice under the Workers' Compensation Commission which were approved by the Workers' Compensation Commission in late October. Perhaps the most important change was the implementation of new rules to utilize electronic filing databases; the details are still being worked out.

*continued on next page...*

# HEYL ROYSTER WORKERS' COMPENSATION UPDATE

2016: Year in Review

Editors, Brad Elward and Lynsey Welch

## THE YEAR IN REVIEW – 2016

By: Brad Elward, [belward@heyloyster.com](mailto:belward@heyloyster.com) &  
Lindsey D'Agnolo, [ldagnolo@heyloyster.com](mailto:ldagnolo@heyloyster.com)

The past year was a busy one for the Appellate Court, Workers' Compensation Commission Division, which handles all appeals throughout the state that arise out of the Workers' Compensation Act. A total of 21 cases were released as published decisions by the court in 2016, an increase over recent years and another 12 civil appeals dealt with workers' compensation-related issues. In this special issue we highlight a few of the more significant workers' compensation decisions that might affect your claims handling. Those 2016 decisions concerning purely procedural issues are not discussed here.

### AMA Impairment Ratings

Three cases were handed down in 2016 concerning section 8.1b's AMA impairment rating report provision. In *Corn Belt Energy Corp. v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (3d) 150311WC, the appellate court held that section 8.1b did not require the claimant to obtain and introduce into evidence an AMA impairment rating report as set forth in subsection (a) of the Act. The court held that the report was optional and could be offered by either party. Moreover, it held that when a report is offered, it, and the remaining four factors of subsection (b) must be expressly discussed along with the basic facts applicable to each factor. A petition for leave to appeal to the Illinois Supreme Court was filed but denied.

In the fall of 2016, the appellate court issued two additional section 8.1b decisions. First, in *Flexible Staffing Services v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st) 151300WC, ¶ 22, the court confirmed that whether the Commission has adequately articulated and evaluated the section 8.1b(b) factors will be reviewed under a manifest weight of the evidence standard of review. The court also announced that it would give the Commission's findings "great deference" in its evaluation of the five factors and held that the factors enunciated in subsection (b) are not exclusive. Thus, "[T]he Commission remains free to evaluate other relevant considerations." Also, on the same day it decided *Flexible Staffing*, the appellate court issued *Con-Way Freight, Inc. v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st)

152576WC, wherein it held that the Commission is not required to treat the impairment rating as the "primary factor," but instead can weigh it in consideration of all other factors.

**For a full discussion of the facts and holdings of these three decisions, please see our December 2016, issue of *Below the Red Line* newsletter.**

### "Arising Out Of"

These published decisions concerned "arising out of" analysis in cases involving an accident from what could be considered "normal daily life activities." These cases have reached similar conclusions but the analysis is quite different. With the retirement of Justice Stewart in December 2016, the court is now evenly split 2-2 on the issue; we will have to await a ruling from the current court before we can resolve this split.

In *Noonan v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st) 152300WC, the claimant, a clerk, "jammed" his wrist when he was sitting in a rolling chair and reached for a dropped pen. In denying benefits, the Appellate Court, Workers' Compensation Commission Division, held that sitting in a chair and reaching for a pen did not present an employment-related risk. Further, the court held that claimant was injured while performing an act that presented a neutral risk and the claimant failed to show he was exposed to that risk to a greater degree than the general public.

In *Steak 'n Shake v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (3d) 150500WC, the claimant, a manager at a restaurant, injured her thumb wiping down a table. The Appellate Court, Workers' Compensation Commission Division, held that the claimant sustained an injury arising out of her employment because wiping down tables was a risk distinctly associated with her employment. The court determined the first step in analyzing whether the injury arose out of claimant's employment was to determine whether the injury was a result of an employment-related risk and, if so, it is unnecessary to apply a neutral-risk analysis.

In *Mytnik v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st) 152116WC, the claimant was injured while bending down to pick up a bolt that fell on the ground. The Appellate Court, Workers' Compensation Commission Division, held that the claimant sustained an injury arising out of his employment while performing

# HEYL ROYSTER WORKERS' COMPENSATION UPDATE

*2016: Year in Review*

*Editors, Brad Elward and Lynsey Welch*

an activity of daily living when the claimant showed he sustained the injury as a result of an employment-related risk. Although the claimant was performing an activity of daily living, the court held that it was unnecessary to perform a neutral risk analysis when the injury was sustained while performing an integral part of the claimant's job duties.

**For a full discussion of the facts and holdings of *Noonan*, please see our November 9, 2016, Workers' Compensation e-clip, "Recent Decision Addresses What Constitutes 'Incidental to Employment' in 'Arising Out Of' Analysis."**

## Exclusive Remedy Provision & *Kotecki*

In *Locasto v. City of Chicago*, 2016 IL App (1st) 151369, the Appellate Court, First District, held that the exclusive remedy provision of Section 5(a), prohibited the claimant firefighter from bringing a civil suit for an intentional tort against his employer where he also filed a workers' compensation claim and collected benefits on the premise that the injury was accidental. Under these circumstances, the court held the claimant was barred from later asserting the conduct complained of was intentional.

**For a full discussion of the facts and holdings of *Locasto*, please see our Workers' Compensation e-clip, "It's No Accident: Workers' Compensation Act Recovery Bars Civil Tort Claim."**

In *Burhmester v. Steve Spiess Constr., Inc.*, 2016 IL App (3d) 140794, the Appellate Court, Third District, reaffirmed that the *Kotecki* Doctrine – providing that limited contribution may be recovered against an employer in a third-party claim – is limited to the amount paid or to be paid in worker's compensation benefits. The court held *Kotecki* was not an affirmative defense that must be plead, but should be viewed as a set-off that the employer could show post-judgment that it was entitled to take against the judgment already entered against it.

## Independent Contractors

In *Esquinca v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st) 150706WC, the claimant, a truck driver for a transportation company in the business of warehousing, yard storage, truck brokering and intermodal freight transport, was injured in a

multi-vehicle accident while delivering a load for the respondent. The claimant argued he was an employee and thus entitled to workers' compensation benefits. The arbitrator denied the claim and the Commission affirmed 2-1. The appellate court upheld the Commission majority decision finding that the numerous factors supported the conclusion the claimant was an independent contractor. The claimant worked under a contract identifying him as an independent contractor; the alleged employer did not have the right to control the claimant's work performance or route; although the claimant had to deliver each shipment on time, he decided his own schedule and where to stop and refuel; the claimant was free to decline jobs; and the claimant owned his own truck and was responsible for all operational expenses associated with the truck, as well as speeding tickets and driving citations. Finally, the claimant was not paid hourly, but received 70-75 percent of each shipment.

## Permanency Benefits

In *Jackson Park Hosp. v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st) 142431WC, the Appellate Court, Workers' Compensation Commission Division, held that the claimant was entitled to a wage differential award even though the claimant was earning the same amount of wages pre-injury as post-injury. The court held that other factors must be considered in determining whether the claimant sustained an impairment of earning capacity including the nature of the employment in comparison to the wages that could be earned in a competitive job market. In considering that claimant would be unable to obtain a job at her pre-injury wages in a competitive job market, the court held the claimant was entitled to wage differential benefits rather than a person-as-a-whole award because she sustained an impairment in earning capacity.

**For a full discussion of the facts and holdings of *Jackson Park Hospital*, please see our March 2016 *Below the Red Line* newsletter.**

In *Chlada v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st) 150122WC, claimant, injured in a work-related accident and unable to return to his pre-injury employment, was awarded wage differential benefits due to an impairment in his earning capacity. After sustaining a second work-related injury which rendered the

## HEYL ROYSTER WORKERS' COMPENSATION UPDATE

*2016: Year in Review*

*Editors, Brad Elward and Lynsey Welch*

claimant totally disabled, the Appellate Court, Workers' Compensation Commission Division, held that claimant could collect wage differential benefits and permanent total disability benefits simultaneously because they placed the claimant at his original average weekly wage, subject to applicable max rates. The claimant's wage differential benefits do not terminate unless the claimant's disability is lessened.

**For a full discussion of the facts and holdings of *Chlada*, please see our August 2016 *Below the Red Line* newsletter.**

### Section 19(g) Proceedings

Two cases were decided on the scope of a section 19(g) proceeding to enter judgment on a Commission decision. In *Foster v. Mitsubishi Motors of North America, Inc.*, 2016 IL App (4th) 160199, the appellate court upheld the circuit court's entry of judgment on a Commission award in a case where the arbitrator had mistakenly made a weekly death benefit award in excess of the statutory maximum weekly benefit amount. The mistake was not caught by the parties and was not appealed. The employer paid the statutory amount rather than the stated award. Years later, when the claimant was trying to resolve her lien in the personal injury claim, the underpayment was discovered. The court entered judgment and found the sole means to attack the award was through a direct appeal to the circuit court or a motion to correct error.

In *Reed v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st) 130681, the appellate court dismissed the claimant's section 19(g) petition on the ground the Commission's decision was still on appeal. The employer had appealed only a part of the award and was not appealing that portion relating to the award of medical benefits. The court held that the appeal process must be complete before a section 19(g) proceeding may be filed, even though the employer was not contesting the medical portion of the award.

### Set-Offs

In *Bayer v. Panduit Corp.*, 2016 IL 119553, the Supreme Court held that Section 5(b) of the Workers' Compensation Act required an employer to pay 25

percent of the gross amount it obtained in reimbursement, (including the value of future medical services, as attorney fees, absent any other agreement). The Court held that when the employer's obligation to pay benefits is cut off by settlement or a judgment against a third party, the employer receives a benefit from the third-party litigation and is relieved of having to make payments. However, the employer's financial obligations to the employee remain unchanged under the Act and, but for the third-party recovery, the employer would have been obligated to pay future medical.

### Traveling Employees

In *Allenbaugh v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (3d) 150284WC, the claimant, a patrol police officer employed by the City of Peoria, was injured in an auto accident while *en route* to the police station for mandatory training. The officer's normal shift began in the mid-afternoon, but his training required him to report at 8:00 a.m. The arbitrator found the claim compensable on the basis that the officer was ordered to perform mandatory training outside his normal work hours. The Commission reversed and its decision was upheld on appeal. The appellate court found that the claimant was not under the control of the employer at the time he was injured and the fact that the training occurred outside his usual hours of employment had no impact on the analysis. Moreover, the court rejected the claimant's contention that he was a traveling employee, finding that he was merely commuting to work at the time of his accident. The court held that the traveling employee doctrine should not be extended to include any claimant who is in an accident while on their way to work, driving a personal vehicle, without any further compensation for his time and travel, and while not performing any duties incidental to their employment solely because the claimant's regular work shift was different for that particular day.

In *United Airlines, Inc. v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st) 151693WC, the claimant was a flight attendant who worked a route out of LaGuardia in New York but lived in Colorado. The claimant departed Colorado for New York dressed in her flight attendant uniform, which she used to get preferential boarding, and was injured while on the flight. She was not working as a flight attendant at the time, but was utilizing the



## HEYL ROYSTER WORKERS' COMPENSATION UPDATE

*2016: Year in Review*

*Editors, Brad Elward and Lynsey Welch*

airlines free leisure travel standby status. The claimant was not paid for this travel and was not reimbursed for any expenses. The Commission's decision to deny benefits, although reversed by the circuit court, was affirmed on appeal. The appellate court concluded that the claimant was merely commuting to work and was not a traveling employee at the time of her accident. United had no control over where the claimant decided to live and derived no benefit from her choice to live in Colorado.

### Voluntary Recreational Programs

In *Calumet School Dist. #132 v. Illinois Workers' Compensation Comm'n*, 2016 IL App (1st) 153034WC, the appellate court upheld the Commission's decision to find a school teacher's injury while playing basketball after school a compensable accident. The teacher testified that he was not required to participate and was not compensated for participation in the after-school games, but due to the fact he had not yet had his contract renewed or his employment review, he nevertheless felt compelled to participate. The employer had presented testimony that the teacher was not required to participate and that his refusal to participate would not have adversely affected his job or review. The appellate court found that the claimant was not engaged in a voluntary recreational program under section 11 of the Act and concluded that the claim was compensable.



#### **Bruce Bonds - Champaign**

Bruce is Managing Partner of the firm's Champaign office and past chair of the firm's Workers' Compensation Practice. With extensive experience before the Illinois Workers' Compensation Commission, Bruce has defended employers in thousands of cases during the course of his career. He served as a technical advisor to the combined employers group in the negotiations which culminated in the 2005 revisions to the Workers' Compensation Act. He worked as a technical advisor to the Illinois Chamber of Commerce as well as with a number of Illinois legislators and state agencies in the process that resulted in the 2011 Amendments to the Workers' Compensation Act. In 2015, he was appointed to the Illinois Workers' Compensation Commission's Rules Review and Revisions Committee. He is an Adjunct Professor of law at the University of Illinois College of Law where he has taught Workers' Compensation Law to upper-level students since 1998.



#### **Brad Elward - Peoria**

Brad concentrates in appellate practice and has a significant sub-concentration in workers' compensation appeals. He has authored more than 300 briefs and argued more than 225 appellate court cases, resulting in more than 100 published decisions. Brad is Past President of the Appellate Lawyers' Association. He has taught courses on workers' compensation law for Illinois Central College as part of its paralegal program and has lectured on appellate practice before the Illinois State Bar Association, Peoria County Bar, Illinois Institute for Continuing Legal Education, and the Southern Illinois University School of Law. Brad is the Co-Editor-In-Chief of the IICLE volume on *Illinois Civil Appeals: State and Federal*, and authored the chapter on Workers' Compensation appeals.



#### **Lindsey D'Agnolo - Rockford**

Lindsey has handled all aspects of litigation including initial assessment, pleading, discovery, motion practice, and trial preparation. While in law school, she gained significant litigation experience when she spent her last semester interning in the Winnebago County State's Attorney's Office as a 711 intern. Lindsey also gained valuable research and writing skills when she served as judicial extern for the Honorable Judge Frederick J. Kapala in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois. Lindsey is currently serving as Treasurer of the Winnebago County Bar Association, Young Lawyers Division.



## ILLINOIS WORKERS' COMPENSATION RATES

<b>PEORIA</b> <b>Craig Young</b> cyoung@heyloyster.com (309) 676-0400	<b>CHAMPAIGN</b> <b>Bruce Bonds</b> bbonds@heyloyster.com (217) 344-0060	<b>CHICAGO</b> <b>Kevin Luther</b> kluther@heyloyster.com (312) 853-8700	<b>EDWARDSVILLE</b> <b>Toney Tomaso</b> ttomaso@heyloyster.com (618) 656-4646	<b>ROCKFORD</b> <b>Kevin Luther</b> kluther@heyloyster.com (815) 963-4454	<b>SPRINGFIELD</b> <b>Dan Simmons</b> dsimmons@heyloyster.com (217) 522-8822
--	---	---	--	--	---

### TTD, DEATH, PERM. TOTAL & AMP. RATES

ACCIDENT DATE	MAX. RATE TTD, DEATH, PERM. TOTAL, AMP.	MIN. RATE DEATH, PERM. TOTAL, AMP.
7/15/11 to 1/14/12.....	1261.41.....	473.03.....
1/15/12 to 7/14/12.....	1288.96.....	483.36.....
7/15/12 to 1/14/13.....	1295.47.....	485.80.....
1/15/13 to 7/14/13.....	1320.03.....	495.01.....
7/15/13 to 1/14/14.....	1331.20.....	499.20.....
1/15/14 to 7/14/14.....	1336.91.....	501.34.....
7/15/14 to 1/14/15.....	1341.07.....	502.90.....
1/15/15 to 7/14/15.....	1361.79.....	510.67.....
7/15/15 to 1/14/16.....	1379.73.....	517.40.....
1/15/16 to 7/14/16.....	1398.23.....	524.34.....
7/15/16 to 7/14/17.....	1428.74.....	535.79.....

### MINIMUM TTD & PPD RATES

# of dependents, including spouse	7/15/07- 7/14/08	7/15/08- 7/14/09	7/15/09- 7/14/10	7/15/10- 7/14/16
0.....	200.00.....	206.67.....	213.33.....	220.00.....
1.....	230.00.....	237.67.....	245.33.....	253.00.....
2.....	260.00.....	268.67.....	277.33.....	286.00.....
3.....	290.00.....	299.67.....	309.33.....	319.00.....
4+.....	300.00.....	310.00.....	320.00.....	330.00.....

### MAXIMUM PERMANENT PARTIAL DISABILITY RATES

ACCIDENT DATE	MAXIMUM RATE
7/1/08 to 6/30/10.....	664.72.....
7/1/10 to 6/30/11.....	669.64.....
7/1/11 to 6/30/12.....	695.78.....
7/1/12 to 6/30/13.....	712.55.....
7/1/13 to 6/30/14.....	721.66.....
7/1/14 to 6/30/15.....	735.37.....
7/1/15 to 6/30/16.....	755.22.....

### MAXIMUM 8(D)(1) WAGE DIFFERENTIAL RATE

ACCIDENT DATE	MAXIMUM RATE
7/15/13 to 1/14/14.....	998.40.....
1/15/14 to 7/14/14.....	1002.68.....
7/15/14 to 1/14/15.....	1005.80.....
1/15/15 to 7/14/15.....	1021.34.....
7/15/15 to 1/14/16.....	1034.80.....
1/15/16 to 7/14/16.....	1048.67.....
7/15/16 to 1/14/17.....	1071.58.....

### SCHEDULED LOSSES (100%)

Effective 2/1/06 (and 7/20/05 to 11/15/05)	Effective 2/1/06 (and 7/20/05 to 11/15/05)
Person as a whole.....500 wks	Leg.....215 wks
Arm.....253 wks	Amp at hip joint.....296 wks
Amp at shoulder joint.....323 wks	Amp above knee.....242 wks
Amp above elbow.....270 wks	Foot.....167 wks
Hand.....205 wks	Great toe.....38 wks
Repetitive carpal tunnel claims.....190 wks	Other toes.....13 wks
Benefits are capped at 15% loss of use of each affected hand absent clear and convincing evidence of greater disability, in which case benefits cannot exceed 30% loss of use of each affected hand.	Hearing
Thumb.....76 wks	Both ears.....215 wks
Index.....43 wks	One ear.....54 wks
Middle.....38 wks	Eye
Ring.....27 wks	Enucleated.....173 wks
Little.....22 wks	One eye.....162 wks
	Disfigurement.....162 wks

Death benefits are paid for 25 years or \$500,000 whichever is greater.

As of 2/1/06, burial expenses are \$8,000.

The current state mileage rate is 54¢ per mile.

# WORKERS' COMPENSATION PRACTICE GROUP



## Practice Group Chair

Toney Tomaso

[ttomaso@heyloyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyster.com)

### Peoria Office



Contact  
Attorney:

**Craig Young**

[cyoung@heyloyster.com](mailto:cyoung@heyloyster.com)



Bradford Ingram

[bingram@heyloyster.com](mailto:bingram@heyloyster.com)



James Manning

[jmanning@heyloyster.com](mailto:jmanning@heyloyster.com)



Brad Elward

[belward@heyloyster.com](mailto:belward@heyloyster.com)



Dana Hughes

[dhughes@heyloyster.com](mailto:dhughes@heyloyster.com)



Jessica Bell

[jbell@heyloyster.com](mailto:jbell@heyloyster.com)



Vincent Boyle

[vboyle@heyloyster.com](mailto:vboyle@heyloyster.com)

### Champaign Office



Contact  
Attorney:

**Bruce Bonds**

[bbonds@heyloyster.com](mailto:bbonds@heyloyster.com)



John Flodstrom

[jflodstrom@heyloyster.com](mailto:jflodstrom@heyloyster.com)



Bradford Peterson

[bpeterson@heyloyster.com](mailto:bpeterson@heyloyster.com)



Joseph Guyette

[jguyette@heyloyster.com](mailto:jguyette@heyloyster.com)



Toney Tomaso

[ttomaso@heyloyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyster.com)

### Chicago Office



Contact  
Attorney:

**Kevin Luther**

[kluther@heyloyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyster.com)



Brad Antonacci

[bantonacci@heyloyster.com](mailto:bantonacci@heyloyster.com)



Lynsey Welch

[lwelch@heyloyster.com](mailto:lwelch@heyloyster.com)



Amy Ohtani

[aohtani@heyloyster.com](mailto:aohtani@heyloyster.com)



Bide Akande

[bakande@heyloyster.com](mailto:bakande@heyloyster.com)



Steven Getty

[sgetty@heyloyster.com](mailto:sgetty@heyloyster.com)



Mohit Khare

[mkhare@heyloyster.com](mailto:mkhare@heyloyster.com)

### Edwardsville Office



Contact  
Attorney:

**Toney Tomaso**

[ttomaso@heyloyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyster.com)



Amber Cameron

[acameron@heyloyster.com](mailto:acameron@heyloyster.com)



Dirk Hennessey

[dhennessey@heyloyster.com](mailto:dhennessey@heyloyster.com)

### Rockford Office



Contact  
Attorney:

**Kevin Luther**

[kluther@heyloyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyster.com)



Brad Antonacci

[bantonacci@heyloyster.com](mailto:bantonacci@heyloyster.com)



Lynsey Welch

[lwelch@heyloyster.com](mailto:lwelch@heyloyster.com)



Amy Ohtani

[aohtani@heyloyster.com](mailto:aohtani@heyloyster.com)



Lindsey D'Agnolo

[ldagnolo@heyloyster.com](mailto:ldagnolo@heyloyster.com)



Steven Getty

[sgetty@heyloyster.com](mailto:sgetty@heyloyster.com)



Mohit Khare

[mkhare@heyloyster.com](mailto:mkhare@heyloyster.com)

### Springfield Office



Contact  
Attorney:

**Daniel Simmons**

[dsimmons@heyloyster.com](mailto:dsimmons@heyloyster.com)



John Langfelder

[jlangfelder@heyloyster.com](mailto:jlangfelder@heyloyster.com)



Jessica Bell

[jbell@heyloyster.com](mailto:jbell@heyloyster.com)



Brett Siegel

[bsiegel@heyloyster.com](mailto:bsiegel@heyloyster.com)



Jessica Klaus

[jklaus@heyloyster.com](mailto:jklaus@heyloyster.com)



# WORKERS' COMPENSATION GROUP

## "WE'VE GOT YOU COVERED!"

**Contact Attorney:**

Kevin J. Luther  
[kluther@heyloyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyster.com)  
312.971.9807

Chicago Zone

**Dockets Covered:**

Elgin • Geneva • Wheaton

**Contact Attorney:**

Kevin J. Luther  
[kluther@heyloyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyster.com)  
815.963.4454

Zone 6

**Dockets Covered:**

Rockford • Waukegan • Woodstock

**Contact Attorney:**

Kevin J. Luther  
[kluther@heyloyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyster.com)  
815.963.4454

Zone 5

**Dockets Covered:**

Kankakee • New Lenox • Ottawa

**Contact Attorney:**

Kevin J. Luther  
[kluther@heyloyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyster.com)  
815.963.4454

Zone 4

**Dockets Covered:**

Bloomington • Rock Island • Peoria

**Contact Attorney:**

Craig S. Young  
[cyoung@heyloyster.com](mailto:cyoung@heyloyster.com)  
309.676.0400

Zone 3

**Dockets Covered:**

Quincy • Springfield • Urbana

**Contact Attorney:**

Bruce L. Bonds  
[bbonds@heyloyster.com](mailto:bbonds@heyloyster.com)  
217.344.0060

Zone 2

**Dockets Covered:**


Collinsville • Herrin • Mt. Vernon

**Contact Attorneys:**

Toney J. Tomaso  
[tomaso@heyloyster.com](mailto:tomaso@heyloyster.com)  
618.656.4646

Zone 1

### ILLINOIS ZONE MAP



### Statewide Workers' Compensation Contact

**Contact Attorney:**

Toney Tomaso - [tomaso@heyloyster.com](mailto:tomaso@heyloyster.com)  
217-344-0060

### Statewide Appellate

**Contact Attorney:**

Brad A. Elward - [belward@heyloyster.com](mailto:belward@heyloyster.com)  
309-676-0400

### State of Missouri

**Contact Attorney:**

Toney Tomaso - [tomaso@heyloyster.com](mailto:tomaso@heyloyster.com)  
217-344-0060

### State of Wisconsin

**Contact Attorney:**

Kevin J. Luther - [kluther@heyloyster.com](mailto:kluther@heyloyster.com)  
815-963-4454

### Jones Act Claims

**Contact Attorney:**

Ann Barron - [abarron@heyloyster.com](mailto:abarron@heyloyster.com)  
618-656-4646

### OFFICE LOCATIONS

#### Peoria

300 Hamilton Blvd.  
PO Box 6199  
Peoria, IL 61601  
309.676.0400

#### Champaign

301 N. Neil Street  
Suite 505  
PO Box 1190  
Champaign, IL 61824  
217.344.0060

#### Chicago

33 N. Dearborn St.  
Seventh Floor  
Chicago, IL 60602  
312.853.8700

#### Edwardsville

105 W. Vandalia St.  
Mark Twain Plaza III  
Suite 100  
PO Box 467  
Edwardsville, IL 62025  
618.656.4646

#### Rockford

120 W. State St.  
Second Floor  
PO Box 1288  
Rockford, IL 61105  
815.963.44542

#### Springfield

3731 Wabash Ave.  
PO Box 9678  
Springfield, IL 62791  
217.522.8822



Below is a sampling of our practice groups highlighting a partner who practices in that area – For more information, please visit our website  
[www.heyloyster.com](http://www.heyloyster.com)



**Appellate Advocacy**

Craig Unrath  
[cunrath@heyloyster.com](mailto:cunrath@heyloyster.com)



**Arson, Fraud and First-Party Property Claims**

Dave Perkins  
[dperkins@heyloyster.com](mailto:dperkins@heyloyster.com)



**Business and Commercial Litigation**

Tim Bertschy  
[tbertschy@heyloyster.com](mailto:tbertschy@heyloyster.com)



**Business and Corporate Organizations**

Deb Stegall  
[dstegall@heyloyster.com](mailto:dstegall@heyloyster.com)



**Civil Rights Litigation/Section 1983**

Keith Fruehling  
[kfruehling@heyloyster.com](mailto:kfruehling@heyloyster.com)



**Class Actions/Mass Tort**

Patrick Cloud  
[pcloud@heyloyster.com](mailto:pcloud@heyloyster.com)



**Construction**

Mark McClenathan  
[mmcclenathan@heyloyster.com](mailto:mmcclenathan@heyloyster.com)



**Employment & Labor**

Brad Ingram  
[bingram@heyloyster.com](mailto:bingram@heyloyster.com)



**Governmental**

John Redlingshafer  
[jredlingshafer@heyloyster.com](mailto:jredlingshafer@heyloyster.com)



**Insurance Coverage**

Jana Brady  
[jbrady@heyloyster.com](mailto:jbrady@heyloyster.com)



**Liquor Liability/Dramshop**

Nick Bertschy  
[nbertschy@heyloyster.com](mailto:nbertschy@heyloyster.com)



**Long Term Care/Nursing Homes**

Mike Denning  
[mdenning@heyloyster.com](mailto:mdenning@heyloyster.com)



**Mediation Services/Alternative Dispute Resolution**

Brad Ingram  
[bingram@heyloyster.com](mailto:bingram@heyloyster.com)



**Product Liability**

Rex Linder  
[rlinder@heyloyster.com](mailto:rlinder@heyloyster.com)



**Professional Liability**

Renee Monfort  
[rmonfort@heyloyster.com](mailto:rmonfort@heyloyster.com)



**Railroad Litigation**

Steve Heine  
[sheine@heyloyster.com](mailto:sheine@heyloyster.com)



**Toxic Torts & Asbestos**

Lisa LaConte  
[llaconte@heyloyster.com](mailto:llaconte@heyloyster.com)



**Trucking/Motor Carrier Litigation**

Matt Hefflefinger  
[mhefflefinger@heyloyster.com](mailto:mhefflefinger@heyloyster.com)



**Workers' Compensation**

Toney Tomaso  
[ttomaso@heyloyster.com](mailto:ttomaso@heyloyster.com)



Scan this QR Code  
 for more information about  
 our practice groups and attorneys

**Peoria**

300 Hamilton Boulevard  
 PO Box 6199  
 Peoria, IL 61601  
 309.676.0400

**Champaign**

301 N. Neil St.  
 Suite 505  
 PO Box 1190  
 Champaign, IL 61820  
 217.344.0060

**Chicago**

33 N. Dearborn Street  
 Seventh Floor  
 Chicago, IL 60602  
 312.853.8700

**Edwardsville**

105 West Vandalia Street  
 Mark Twain Plaza III  
 Suite 100  
 PO Box 467  
 Edwardsville, IL 62025  
 618.656.4646

**Rockford**

120 West State Street  
 PNC Bank Building  
 2nd Floor  
 PO Box 1288  
 Rockford, IL 61105  
 815.963.4454

**Springfield**

3731 Wabash Ave.  
 PO Box 9678  
 Springfield, IL 62791  
 217.522.8822